

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/747,010	12/26/2000	Paul Zappier	2087	2934
21494 FURGANG &	7590 12/03/2007 ADWAR		EXAMINER	
2 CROSFIELD	AVENUE	HAMILTON, LALITA M		
WEST NYACK, NY 10994			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3691	
•			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/03/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/747,010	ZAPPIER, PAUL			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Lalita M. Hamilton	3691			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timulated and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 Fe 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL 2b)□ This 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims	•				
4) Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 10.	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

09/747,010 Art Unit: 3691

DETAILED ACTION

On August 24, 2004, an Office Action was sent to the Applicant rejecting claims 1-6. On March 11, 2005, the application was abandoned, because no response had been filed. On November 28, 2005, the Applicant filed a petition for unintentional abandonment. On January 20, 2006, the petition was dismissed. On February 20, 2006, the petition was renewed. On February 2, 2006, the Applicant filed a response to the outstanding Office Action. On March 16, 2006, the petition was granted.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tealdi (2001/0029482) in view of Campbell (2002/0023033).

Although the Examiner has pointed out particular references contained in the prior art(s) of record in the body of this action, the specified citations are merely

Application/Control Number:

09/747,010

Art Unit: 3691

representative of the teachings in the art as applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim. Since other passages and figures may apply to the claimed invention as well, it is respectfully requested that the applicant, in preparing the response, to consider fully the entire references as potentially teaching all of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior arts or disclosed by the Examiner.

Tealdi discloses the invention substantially as claimed, as set forth in the previous Office Action. Tealdi further discloses that profits are made from selling mortgage-backed securities (p.1, 12-16 and p.15, 185). Tealdi does not disclose providing an electronic ticket having trade data thereon, inputting the electronic trade ticket into the computer system, providing a trade confirmation creator, preparing a trade confirmation document, providing the trade confirmation document to the buyer and seller by e-mail for review by them, or revising, if necessary, the trade confirmation document, based upon comments from the buyer and seller. Campbell teaches a method for brokering transactions comprising providing an electronic ticket having trade data thereon (p.1, 4-8; p.3, 42; and p.8, 82 to p.9, 94); inputting the electronic trade ticket into the computer system (p.1, 4-8; p.3, 42; and p.8, 82 to p.9, 94), providing a trade confirmation creator (p.1, 4-8; p.3, 42; and p.8, 82 to p.9, 94), preparing a trade confirmation document (p.1, 4-8; p.3, 42; and p.8, 82 to p.9, 94), providing the trade confirmation document to the buyer and seller by e-mail for review by them (p.1, 4-8; p.3, 42; and p.8, 82 to p.9, 94), and revising, if necessary, the trade confirmation

Application/Control Number:

09/747,010

Art Unit: 3691

document, based upon comments from the buyer and seller (p.1, 4-8; p.3, 42; and p.8, 82 to p.9, 94). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Campbell within Tealdi for the motivation of providing full electronic trading capabilities of the loans.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

09/747,010

Art Unit: 3691

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lalita M. Hamilton whose telephone number is (571) 272-6743. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Thursday (6:30-2:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kalinowski Alexander can be reached on (571) 272-6771. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

LALITA M. HAMILTON PRIMARY EXAMINER